CrossMark

# On the bottom of spectra under coverings

Werner Ballmann<sup>1,2</sup> · Henrik Matthiesen<sup>1,2</sup> · Panagiotis Polymerakis<sup>3</sup>

Received: 9 January 2017 / Accepted: 16 July 2017 / Published online: 30 September 2017 © The Author(s) 2017. This article is an open access publication

**Abstract** For a Riemannian covering  $M_1 \to M_0$  of connected Riemannian manifolds with respective fundamental groups  $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$ , we show that the bottoms of the spectra of  $M_0$  and  $M_1$  coincide if the right action of  $\Gamma_0$  on  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_0$  is amenable.

Keywords Bottom of spectrum · Amenable covering

Mathematics Subject Classification 58J50 · 35P15 · 53C99

# **1** Introduction

In this article, we study the behaviour under coverings of the bottom of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators on Riemannian manifolds.

Let *M* be a connected Riemannian manifold, not necessarily complete, and  $V: M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth potential with associated *Schrödinger operator*  $\Delta + V$ . We consider  $\Delta + V$  as an unbounded symmetric operator in the space  $L^2(M)$  of square integrable functions on *M* with domain  $C_c^{\infty}(M)$ , the space of smooth functions on *M* with compact support.

Henrik Matthiesen hematt@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

Panagiotis Polymerakis polymerp@hu-berlin.de

<sup>1</sup> Max Planck Institute for Mathematics, Vivatsgasse 7, 53111 Bonn, Germany

<sup>2</sup> Hausdorff Center for Mathematics, Endenicher Allee 60, 53115 Bonn, Germany

We would like to thank the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics and the Hausdorff Center for Mathematics in Bonn for their support.

<sup>☑</sup> Werner Ballmann hwbllmnn@mpim-bonn.mpg.de

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Institut für Mathematik, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Unter den Linden 6, 10099 Berlin, Germany

For a non-vanishing Lipschitz continuous function on M with compact support in M, we call

$$R(f) = \frac{\int_{M} (|\nabla f|^2 + Vf^2)}{\int_{M} f^2}$$
(1.1)

the Rayleigh quotient of f. We let

$$\lambda_0(M, V) = \inf R(f), \tag{1.2}$$

where f runs through all non-vanishing Lipschitz continuous functions on M with compact support in M. If  $\lambda_0(M, V) > -\infty$ , then  $\Delta + V$  is bounded from below on  $C_c^{\infty}(M)$  and  $\lambda_0(M, V)$  is equal to the bottom of the spectrum of the *Friedrichs extension of*  $\Delta + V$ . If  $\lambda_0(M, V) = -\infty$ , then the spectrum of any self-adjoint extension of  $\Delta + V$  is not bounded from below.

Recall that  $\Delta + V$  is essentially self-adjoint on  $C_c^{\infty}(M)$  if M is complete and inf  $V > -\infty$ . Then the unique self-adjoint extension of  $\Delta + V$  is its closure. In the case where M is the interior of a complete Riemannian manifold N with smooth boundary and where V extends smoothly to the boundary of N,  $\lambda_0(M, V)$  is equal to the bottom of the Dirichlet spectrum of  $\Delta + V$  on N.

In the case of the *Laplacian*, that is, V = 0, we also write  $\lambda_0(M)$  and call it the *bottom* of the spectrum of M. It is well known that  $\lambda_0(M)$  is the supremum over all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  such that there is a positive smooth  $\lambda$ -eigenfunction  $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$  (see, e.g., [3, Theorem 7], [4, Theorem 1], or [5, Theorem 2.1]). It is crucial that these eigenfunctions are not required to be square-integrable. In fact,  $\lambda_0(M)$  is exactly the border between the positive and the  $L^2$ spectrum of  $\Delta$  (see, e.g., [5, Theorem 2.2]).

Suppose now that M is simply connected and let  $\pi_0: M \to M_0$  and  $\pi_1: M \to M_1$  be Riemannian subcovers of M. Let  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  be the groups of covering transformations of  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi_1$ , respectively, and assume that  $\Gamma_1 \subseteq \Gamma_0$ . Then the resulting Riemannian covering  $\pi: M_1 \to M_0$  satisfies  $\pi \circ \pi_1 = \pi_0$ . Let  $V_0: M_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth potential and set  $V_1 = V_0 \circ \pi$ .

Since the lift of a positive  $\lambda$ -eigenfunction of  $\Delta$  on  $M_0$  to  $M_1$  is a positive  $\lambda$ -eigenfunction of  $\Delta$ , we always have  $\lambda_0(M_0) \leq \lambda_0(M_1)$  by the above characterization of the bottom of the spectrum of  $\Delta$  by positive eigenfunctions. In Sect. 4, we present a short and elementary proof of the inequality which does not rely on the characterization of  $\lambda_0$  by positive eigenfunctions:

**Theorem 1.1** For any Riemannian covering  $\pi: M_1 \to M_0$  as above,

$$\lambda_0(M_0, V_0) \le \lambda_0(M_1, V_1).$$

Brooks showed in [2, Theorem 1] that  $\lambda_0(M_0) = \lambda_0(M_1)$  in the case where  $M_0$  is complete, has *finite topological type*, and  $\pi$  is *normal* with *amenable* group  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_0$  of covering transformations. Bérard and Castillon extended this in [1, Theorem 1.1] to  $\lambda_0(M_0, V_0) = \lambda_0(M_1, V_1)$ in the case where  $M_0$  is complete,  $\pi_1(M_0)$  is finitely generated [this assumption occurs in point (1) of their Section 3.1], and the right action of  $\Gamma_0$  on  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_0$  is amenable. We generalize these results as follows:

**Theorem 1.2** If the right action of  $\Gamma_0$  on  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_0$  is amenable, then

$$\lambda_0(M_0, V_0) = \lambda_0(M_1, V_1).$$

Here a right action of a countable group  $\Gamma$  on a countable set X is said to be *amenable* if there exists a  $\Gamma$ -invariant mean on  $L^{\infty}(X)$ . This holds if and only if the action satisfies the

*Følner condition*: For any finite subset  $G \subseteq \Gamma$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a non-empty, finite subset  $F \subseteq X$ , a *Følner set*, such that

$$|F \setminus Fg| \le \varepsilon |F| \tag{1.3}$$

for all  $g \in G$ . By definition,  $\Gamma$  is *amenable* if the right action of  $\Gamma$  on itself is amenable, and then any action of  $\Gamma$  is amenable.

In comparison with the results of Brooks, Bérard, and Castillon, the main point of Theorem 1.2 is that we do not need any assumptions on metric and topology of  $M_0$ . A main new point of our arguments is that we adopt our constructions more carefully to the different competitors for  $\lambda_0$  separately.

#### 2 Fundamental domains and partitions of unity

Choose a complete Riemannian metric h on  $M_0$ . In what follows, geodesics, distances, and metric balls in  $M_0$ ,  $M_1$ , and M are taken with respect to h and its lifts to  $M_1$  and M, respectively.

Fix a point x in  $M_0$ . For any  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , let

$$D_{y} = \{ z \in M_{1} \mid d(z, y) \le d(z, y') \text{ for all } y' \in \pi^{-1}(x) \}$$
(2.1)

be the *fundamental domain* of  $\pi$  centered at y. Then  $D_y$  is closed in  $M_1$ , the boundary  $\partial D_y$ of  $D_y$  has measure zero in  $M_1$ , and  $\pi : D_y \setminus \partial D_y \to M_0 \setminus C$  is an isometry, where C is a subset of the cut locus  $\operatorname{Cut}(x)$  of x in  $M_0$ . Recall that  $\operatorname{Cut}(x)$  is of measure zero. Moreover,  $M_1 = \bigcup_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} D_y, y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.1** For any  $\rho > 0$ , there is an integer  $N(\rho)$  such that any z in  $M_1$  is contained in at most  $N(\rho)$  metric balls  $B(y, \rho)$ ,  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ .

*Proof* Let  $z \in B(y_1, \rho) \cap B(y_2, \rho)$  with  $y_1 \neq y_2$  in  $\pi^{-1}(x)$  and  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2: [0, 1] \rightarrow M_1$  be minimal geodesics from  $y_1$  to z and  $y_2$  to z, respectively. Then  $\sigma_1 = \pi \circ \gamma_1$  and  $\sigma_2 = \pi \circ \gamma_2$  are geodesic segments from x to  $\pi(z)$ . Since  $y_1 \neq y_2$ ,  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are not homotopic relative to  $\{0, 1\}$ . Hence, if z lies in in the intersection of n pairwise different balls  $B(y_i, \rho)$  with  $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , then the concatenations  $\sigma_1^{-1} * \sigma_i$  represent n pairwise different homotopy classes of loops at x of length at most  $2\rho$ . Hence n is at most equal to the number  $N(\rho)$  of homotopy classes of loops at x with representatives of length at most  $2\rho$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.2** If  $K \subseteq M_0$  is compact, then  $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y$  is compact. More precisely, if  $K \subseteq B(x, r)$ , then  $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y \subseteq B(y, r)$ .

*Proof* Choose r > 0 such that  $K \subseteq B(x, r)$ . Let  $z \in \pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y$  and  $\gamma_0$  be a minimal geodesic from  $\pi(z) \in K$  to x. Let  $\gamma$  be the lift of  $\gamma_0$  to  $M_1$  starting in z. Then  $\gamma$  is a minimal geodesic from z to some point  $y' \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ . Since  $z \in D_y$ , this implies

$$d(z, y) \le d(z, y') \le L(\gamma) = L(\gamma_0) < r.$$

Hence  $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y \subseteq B(y, r)$ .

Let  $K \subseteq M_0$  be a compact subset and choose r > 0 such that  $K \subseteq B(x, r)$ . Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be the function which is equal to 1 on  $(-\infty, r]$ , to t + 1 - r for  $r \leq t \leq r + 1$ , and to 0 on  $[r + 1, \infty]$ . For  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , let  $\psi_y = \psi_y(z) = \psi(d(z, y))$ . Note that  $\psi_y = 1$  on  $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y$  and that supp  $\psi_y = \overline{B}(y, r + 1)$ .

Deringer

**Lemma 2.3** Any z in  $M_1$  is contained in the support of at most N(r + 1) of the functions  $\psi_y$ ,  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ .

*Proof* This is clear from Lemma 2.1 since supp  $\psi_y$  is contained in the ball B(y, r + 1).  $\Box$ 

In particular, each point of  $M_1$  lies in the support of only finitely many of the functions  $\psi_y$ . Therefore the function  $\psi_1 = \max\{1 - \sum \psi_y, 0\}$  is well defined. By Lemma 2.2, we have supp  $\psi_1 \cap \pi^{-1}(K) = \emptyset$ . Together with  $\psi_1$ , the functions  $\psi_y$  lead to a partition of unity on  $M_1$  with functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_y$ ,  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , given by

$$\varphi_1 = \frac{\psi_1}{\psi_1 + \sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \psi_z}$$
 and  $\varphi_y = \frac{\psi_y}{\psi_1 + \sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \psi_z}$ . (2.2)

Note that supp  $\varphi_1 = \text{supp } \psi_1$  and supp  $\varphi_y = \text{supp } \psi_y$  for all  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** The functions  $\varphi_y$ ,  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , are Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 3N(r+1).

*Proof* The functions  $\psi_y, y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , are Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant 1 and take values in [0, 1]. Hence  $\psi_1$  is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant N = N(r+1), by Lemma 2.3, and takes values in [0, 1]. Therefore the denominator  $\chi = \psi_1 + \sum_{z \in \pi^{-1}(x)} \psi_z$  in the fraction defining the  $\varphi_y$  is Lipschitz continuous and takes values in [1, N]. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{y}(z_{1}) - \varphi_{y}(z_{2})| &\leq \frac{|(\chi(z_{2}) - \chi(z_{1}))\psi_{y}(z_{1}) + \chi(z_{1})(\psi_{y}(z_{1}) - \psi_{y}(z_{2}))|}{\chi(z_{1})\chi(z_{2})} \\ &\leq \frac{(2N+N)d(z_{1}, z_{2})}{\chi(z_{1})\chi(z_{2})} \leq 3Nd(z_{1}, z_{2}). \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.4, we get that  $\varphi_1 = 1 - \sum \varphi_y$  is also Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant  $6N(r + 1)^2$ .

## 3 Pulling up

Let f be a non-vanishing Lipschitz continuous function on  $M_0$  with compact support and let  $f_1 = f \circ \pi$ . We will construct a cutoff function  $\chi$  on  $M_1$  such that  $R(\chi f_1)$  is close to R(f).

Let g be the given Riemannian metric on  $M_0$  and h be a complete background Riemannian metric on  $M_0$  as in Sect. 2. Then there is a constant  $A \ge 1$  such that

$$A^{-1}g \le h \le Ag \tag{3.1}$$

on the support of f. We continue to take distances and metric balls in  $M_0$ ,  $M_1$ , and M with respect to h and its respective lifts to  $M_1$  and M.

Fix a point *x* in  $M_0$ . With K = supp f and r > 0 such that  $K \subseteq B(x, r)$ , we get a partition of unity with functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_y$ ,  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , as above.

Fix preimages  $u \in M$  and  $y = \pi_1(u) \in M_1$  of x under  $\pi_0$  and  $\pi$ , respectively. Write  $\pi_0^{-1}(x) = \Gamma_0 u$  as the union of  $\Gamma_1$ -orbits  $\Gamma_1 gu$ , where g runs through a set R of representatives of the right cosets of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma_0$ , that is, of the elements of  $\Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_0$ . Then  $\pi^{-1}(x) = {\pi_1(gu) \mid g \in R}$ . Let

$$S = \{s \in R \mid d(y, \pi_1(su)) \le 2r + 2\}$$
  
=  $\{s \in R \mid d(u, tsu) \le 2r + 2 \text{ for some } t \in \Gamma_1\},$   
$$T = \{t \in \Gamma_1 \mid d(u, tsu) \le 2r + 2 \text{ for some } s \in S\},$$
  
$$G = TS \subseteq \Gamma_0.$$

Since the fibres of  $\pi$  and  $\pi_0$  are discrete, S and T are finite subsets of  $\Gamma_0$ , hence also G.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $F \subseteq \Gamma_1 \setminus \Gamma_0$  be a Følner set for G and  $\varepsilon$  satisfying (1.3). Let

$$P = \{g \in R \mid \Gamma_1 g \in F\} \subseteq R$$

and set

$$\chi = \sum_{g \in P} \varphi_{\pi_1(gu)}.$$

Since  $|P| = |F| < \infty$ , supp  $\chi$  is compact. Hence, by Lemma 2.4,  $\chi f_1$  is compactly supported and Lipschitz continuous on  $M_1$ . Let

$$Q = \{ y \in \pi^{-1}(x) \mid (\chi f_1)(z) \neq 0 \text{ for some } z \in D_y \}.$$

To estimate the Rayleigh quotient of  $\chi f_1$ , it suffices to consider  $\chi f_1$  on the union of the  $D_y$ ,  $y \in Q$ . We first observe that

$$P_1 = \{\pi_1(gu) \mid g \in P\} \subseteq Q.$$

To show this, let  $y = \pi_1(gu)$  and observe that  $f_1$  does not vanish identically on  $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y$ and that  $\varphi_y$  is positive on  $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y$ . Since *R* is a set of representatives of the right cosets of  $\Gamma_1$  in  $\Gamma_0$ , there exists a one-to-one correspondence between *P* and *P*<sub>1</sub>, and hence

$$|P| = |P_1| \le |Q|.$$

The problematic subset of Q is

$$Q_{-} = \{ y \in Q \mid 0 < \chi(z) < 1 \text{ for some } z \in \pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_{y} \}$$

Let now  $y \in Q_-$  and  $z \in \pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y$  with  $0 < \chi(z) < 1$ . Since  $\pi_1(gu), g \in R$ , runs through all points of  $\pi^{-1}(x)$ , we have  $\sum_{g \in R} \varphi_{\pi_1(gu)}(z) = 1$ . Hence there are  $g_1, \ldots, g_k \in R \setminus P$  such that  $\varphi_{\pi_1(g_iu)}(z) \neq 0$  and

$$\chi(z) + \sum \varphi_{\pi_1(g_i u)}(z) = 1.$$

Furthermore, there has to be a  $g \in P$  with  $\varphi_{\pi_1(gu)}(z) \neq 0$ . Then the supports of the functions  $\varphi_{\pi_1(gu)}$  and  $\varphi_{\pi_1(g_iu)}$  intersect and we get  $d(\pi_1(gu), \pi_1(g_iu)) \leq 2r + 2$ . That is, we have  $d(gu, h_i g_i u) \leq 2r + 2$  for some  $h_i \in \Gamma_1$ . We conclude that

$$d(u, g^{-1}h_i g_i u) = d(gu, h_i g_i u) \le 2r + 2.$$

Since  $\pi_1$  is distance non-increasing, we get that there are  $s_i \in S$  and  $t_i \in T$  such that  $g^{-1}h_ig_i = t_is_i$ , and then  $h_ig_i = gt_is_i$ . Since  $g_i \notin P$ , we conclude that  $\Gamma_1gt_is_i \notin F$ , i.e.,  $\Gamma_1g \in F \setminus F(t_is_i)^{-1}$ . Since  $(t_is_i)^{-1} \in G$ , there are at most  $\varepsilon |F||G|$  such elements  $g \in P$ . Since  $d(y, z) \leq r$  and  $d(z, \pi_1(gu)) \leq r + 1$ , we conclude with Lemma 2.1 that for fixed  $g \in P$  there are at most N(2r + 1) such  $y \in Q$ . We conclude that

$$Q_{-}| \le \varepsilon |F| |G| N(2r+1) = \varepsilon |P| |G| N(2r+1) \le \varepsilon |Q| |G| N(2r+1).$$
(3.2)

Deringer

We now estimate the Rayleigh quotient of  $\chi f_1$ . For any  $y \in Q_+ = Q \setminus Q_-$ , we have  $\chi = 1$  on  $\pi^{-1}(K) \cap D_y$  and therefore

$$\int_{D_y} \{ |\nabla(\chi f_1)|^2 + V_1(\chi f_1)^2 \} = \int_{D_y} \{ |\nabla f_1|^2 + V_1 f_1^2 \}$$
$$= \int_{M_0} \{ |\nabla f|^2 + V_0 f^2 \}$$

and

$$\int_{D_y} \chi^2 f_1^2 = \int_{D_y} f_1^2 = \int_{M_0} f^2,$$

where, here and below, integrals, gradients, and norms are taken with respect to the original Riemannian metric g on M.

For any  $y \in Q_-$ , we have

$$\int_{D_y} \chi^2 f_1^2 \leq \int_{M_0} f^2 \text{ and } \int_{D_y} |V_1| \chi^2 f_1^2 \leq C_0 \int_{M_0} f^2,$$

where  $C_0$  is the maximum of  $|V_0|$  on supp f = K. By Lemma 2.3, Lemma 2.4, and (3.1), we have  $|\nabla \chi|^2 \le 9N(r+1)^4 A$  on the support of f. Therefore

$$\int_{D_y} |\nabla(\chi f_1)|^2 \le 2 \int_{D_y} \{ |\nabla \chi|^2 f^2 + \chi^2 |\nabla f \circ \pi|^2 | \}$$
$$\le 18N(r+1)^4 A \int_{M_0} f^2 + 2 \int_{M_0} |\nabla f|^2$$

In conclusion,

$$\int_{D_y} \{ |\nabla(\chi f_1)|^2 + |V_1|\chi^2 f_1^2 \} \le C$$

for any  $y \in Q_-$ , where C > 0 is an appropriate constant, which depends on f, but not on y or the choice of  $\varepsilon$  and F. With D = |G|N(2r + 1), we obtain from (3.2) that

$$|Q_{-}| \le \frac{\varepsilon D}{1 - \varepsilon D} |Q_{+}|,$$

and conclude that

$$\begin{split} R(\chi f_1) &= \frac{\int \{ |\nabla(\chi f_1)|^2 + V_1 \chi^2 f_1^2 \}}{\int (\chi f_1)^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{D_y} \{ |\nabla f_1|^2 + V_1 f_1^2 \}}{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{D_y} f_1^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Q}_+} \int_{D_y} \{ |\nabla f_1|^2 + V_1 f_1^2 \} + \varepsilon CD |\mathcal{Q}_+| / (1 - \varepsilon D)}{\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Q}_+} \int_{D_y} f_1^2} \\ &= \frac{\int_{M_0} \{ |\nabla f|^2 + V_0 f^2 \} + \varepsilon CD / (1 - \varepsilon D)}{\int_{M_0} f^2} \\ &= R(f) + \frac{\varepsilon CD}{(1 - \varepsilon D) \int_{M_0} f^2}. \end{split}$$

For  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , the right hand side converges to R(f).

Deringer

*Proof of Theorem 1.2* By Theorem 1.1, we have  $\lambda_0(M_0, V_0) \leq \lambda_0(M_1, V_1)$ . By (1.2), the bottom of the spectrum of Schrödinger operators is given by the infimum of corresponding Rayleigh quotients R(f) of Lipschitz continuous functions with compact support. The arguments above show that, for any such function f on  $M_0$  and any  $\delta > 0$ , there is a Lipschitz continuous function  $\chi f_1$  on  $M_1$  with compact support and Rayleigh quotient at most  $R(f) + \delta$ . Therefore we also have  $\lambda_0(M_0, V_0) \geq \lambda_0(M_1, V_1)$ .

## 4 Pushing down

Let f be a Lipschitz continuous function on  $M_1$  with compact support. Define the *push down*  $f_0: M_0 \to \mathbb{R}$  of f by

$$f_0(x) = \left(\sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} f(y)^2\right)^{1/2}$$

Since supp f is compact, the sum on the right hand side is finite for all  $x \in M_0$ , and hence  $f_0$  is well defined. We have supp  $f_0 = \pi(\text{supp } f)$ , and hence supp  $f_0$  is compact. Furthermore,  $f_0$  is differentiable at each point x, where f is differentiable at all  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$  and  $f(y) \neq 0$  for some  $y \in \pi^{-1}(x)$ , and then

$$\nabla f_0(x) = \frac{1}{f_0(x)} \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} f(y) \pi_*(\nabla f(y)).$$

For the norm of the differential of  $f_0$  at x, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla f_0(x)|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{f_0(x)^2} \left| \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} f(y) \pi_* (\nabla f(y)) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{f_0(x)^2} \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} f(y)^2 \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} |\nabla f(y)|^2 \\ &= \sum_{y \in \pi^{-1}(x)} |\nabla f(y)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,  $f_0$  is differentiable with vanishing differential at almost any point of  $\{f_0 = 0\}$ . Therefore  $f_0$  is Lipschitz continuous and

$$\int_{M_0} f_0^2 = \int_{M_1} f^2, \quad \int_{M_0} V_0 f_0^2 = \int_{M_1} V_1 f^2, \quad \int_{M_0} |\nabla f_0|^2 \le \int_{M_1} |\nabla f|^2.$$

In particular, we have  $R(f_0) \leq R(f)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.1* For any non-vanishing Lipschitz continuous function f on  $M_1$  with compact support, the push down  $f_0$  as above is a Lipschitz continuous function on  $M_0$  with compact support and Rayleigh quotient  $R(f_0) \le R(f)$ . The asserted inequality follows now from the characterization of the bottom of the spectrum by Rayleigh quotients as in (1.2).  $\Box$ 

## 5 Final remarks

It is well-known that any countable group is the fundamental group of a smooth four-manifold. (A variant of the usual argument for finitely presented groups, taking connected sums of  $S^1 \times S^3$  and performing surgeries, can be used to produce five-manifolds with fundamental group any countable group.) In particular, for a non-finitely generated, amenable group G, e.g.,  $G = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}$  or  $G = \mathbb{Q}$ , there is a smooth manifold M with  $\pi_1(M) \cong G$ . In contrast to the results in [1,2], our main result also applies to such examples.

Moreover, we do not assume  $\lambda_0(M_0, V_0) > -\infty$ . Given any non-compact manifold  $M_0$ , it is indeed easy to construct a smooth potential  $V_0$  such that  $\lambda_0(M_0, V_0) = -\infty$ . In fact, it suffices that  $V_0(x)$  tends to  $-\infty$  sufficiently fast as  $x \to \infty$ .

Acknowledgements Open access funding provided by Max Planck Society.

**Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

# References

- Bérard, P., Castillon, P.: Spectral positivity and Riemannian coverings. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 45(5), 1041–1048 (2013)
- Brooks, R.: The bottom of the spectrum of a Riemannian covering. J. Reine Angew. Math. 357, 101–114 (1985)
- Cheng, S.Y., Yau, S.T.: Differential equations on Riemannian manifolds and their geometric applications. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 28(3), 333–354 (1975)
- Fischer-Colbrie, D., Schoen, R.: The structure of complete stable minimal surfaces in 3-manifolds of nonnegative scalar curvature. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 33(2), 199–211 (1980)
- 5. Sullivan, D.: Related aspects of positivity in Riemannian geometry. J. Differ. Geom. 25(3), 327–351 (1987)